

Community Vitality as a Theory of Governance for Online Interaction

Presented by the Yale Journal of Law & Technology and The Justice Collaboratory at Yale Law School

By Farzaneh Badiei, Former Director of The Justice Collaboratory's Social Media Governance Initiative,
Tracey Meares, Founding Director of The Justice Collaboratory and Walton Hale Hamilton Professor of Law,
Tom Tyler, Founding Director of The Justice Collaboratory and Macklin Fleming Professor of Law and Professor of Psychology

“ Just as in the real world, it’s better to facilitate and encourage **healthful community interactions online** as a way to avoid users posting undesirable content. Healthy communities are more likely to be self-regulating communities that **need less external policing.** ”



The Goal: **Create vital communities, whether online or offline**

Platforms should prioritize creating infrastructures to encourage strong, healthful communities online. This involves lowering the level of negative or divisive online interaction and raising the constructive and problem-solving communication.

The limitations of content moderation:

Governance of online platforms typically focuses on **“content moderation,”** a method that manages problematic content ranging from nudity to hate speech. However, limiting online content moderation to focus on identifying and punishing bad behavior prioritizes the elimination of bad behavior over the creation of a framework that facilitates healthful interaction.

Social governance that encourages community vitality:

Goals

Activities

Limit negative experience



On the platform

Content moderation to lessen the amount of hate speech, false content, etc.



In the community

Lessen the impact of negative and false content on real world activities such as elections.

Promote positive behavior



Encourage constructive interactions.



Increase the resilience and vibrancy of real-world communities.

Read the [full article](#) in the Yale Journal of Law & Technology, Volume 23.